

## REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of this application are respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and the following explanations and remarks.

In paragraph 3 of the Office Action, claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention at that of claim 1 of applicant's prior U.S. Patent 6,442,241. Claim 1 has been canceled, which should overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101.

In paragraph 7 of the Office Action, claims 25-59 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of applicant's prior U.S. Patent 6,442,241. With regard to the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting rejection, please enter the attached Terminal Disclaimer and requisite fee. The entry of the enclosed Terminal Disclaimer should remove applicant's prior U.S. Patent 6,442,241 as a reference in the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Claims 42 and 43 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification fails to disclose how the even-specific message by itself is able to simultaneously deliver an even-specific message to plural locations or respond to triggers since a message is nothing more than information or data signals. Claims 42 and 43 were also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being vague and confusing in that what is meant by the event-specific message being able to simultaneously deliver itself to plural locations or respond to triggers.

Claims 42 and 43 have been canceled, and the subject matter of original claims 42 and 43 is now more clearly and properly set forth in newly presented method claim 60. No new matter has been added, and support for the newly presented claim is present in the parent application as originally filed. It is respectfully submitted that newly presented method claim 60 is sufficient to comply with the first and second paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 112.

Accordingly, in view of the foregoing explanations and remarks it is respectfully requested that newly present claim 60 be accepted and entered and allowed along with claims 25-41, 44-59, and that this application be passed to issue.

April 17, 2003

2916 West T.C. Jester Blvd.  
Suite 100  
Houston, TX 77018  
(713) 686-7676

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Kenneth A. Roddy". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized "K" and "R".

Kenneth A. Roddy  
Agent for Applicant  
Registration No. 31,294